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Linux Tracing

- **Perf**: performance counters mainlined in 2009
- **Ftrace**: static kernel function tracing mainlined ca. 2008
- **Strace, OProfile, …**
- **SystemTap**: dynamic kernel & user space tracing, started in 2005
- **LTTng**: efficient, large-scale kernel & user space trace tools, started in 2005

Which one to pick?
LTTng: Linux Trace Toolkit – next generation

- Comparable to Event Tracing for Windows
- Efficient tracing tools for debugging and performance analysis
- Static trace points in kernel, user space library available
- Record huge amounts of trace data in common format (CTF)
- Crucial: trace viewer (Eclipse, LTTV (WIP))
- Workflow: post-mortems (flight recorder) or live consumers (e.g. LTTngtop)
LTTng – Architecture

More detail:
Kernel Tracepoint API (mainline) by Mathieu Desnoyers

Tracepoints present in a number of subsystems (sched, kvm, block, timer, ...)

lttng list -k lists available kernel events

Add context information, filters, ... to sessions
LTTng - UST (userspace tracer)

- Add tracepoints to userspace programs
- Cheap activation (no traps)
- How to?
  - Write event definition
  - Compile definition to C (lttng-gen-tp)
  - Add tracepoints to program
  - Run tracing session
  - Analyze
LTTng – UST (userspace tracer)

TRACEPOINT_EVENT(
    provider_name, 
    event_name, 
    TP_ARGS(arg1_type, arg1_name), 
    ... 
    TP_FIELDS(
        ctf_type(type, field_name, arg_expr)
    )
)
...
... 
tracepoint(provider_name, event_name, arg1, ..., argN);
SystemTap
SystemTap

- Similar to DTrace
- User defined or predefined tracing scripts
- SystemTap compiles scripts to C (kernel modules or user space probes)
- Static probes are possible, too
- Text-based traces; TTY, file output or flight recorder
- Workflow: iterative debugging, flight recorder mode for monitoring
- Guru mode: manipulate syscall parameters, ...
SystemTap – Sample Script
Case Study

http://trac.nginx.org/nginx/ticket/53
nginx bug #53 – deadlock after active worker crashes

- Lightweight web server with worker processes, sync via atomic GCC primitives in shared memory region (no syscalls involved)
- Synchronized access to incoming requests
- Active worker := worker holding that lock
- If active worker terminates abnormally, server deadlocks
Trace: run nginx, request files, kill active worker, request files, nothing happens

Server does not accept connections

Search trace for sys_accept4

Last sys_accept4 event appears before a worker was killed

As developers, we know that nginx protects sys_accept4 with a mutex

Might conclude that mutex is lost after active worker terminates.

Possibly introduce UST trace points in C code
SySCALL tracing is one option

Fine-grained analysis: which worker holds the accept mutex at what time?

Approach 1: entry/exit user space function tracing (lock/unlock) ... which is currently not implemented (used to be, will be?)

Approach 2: trace statements in code

Side note: could measure fairness of lock
Conclusion
Why or why not LTTng?

- Low-level tracing, large amounts of data
- Might allow for cheap monitoring
- Switching to Common Trace Format
- Tools are WIP, transition from 1.x to 2.x
- Documentation is WIP as well, everything in flux, lots of dev mailing list traffic
- Depending on distribution, setup may require manual steps
Why or why not SystemTap?

- Flexible tool for debugging and performance analysis
- Get an understanding of 3rd-party code
- Setup requires kernel debug information
- Very detailed and accessible documentation
- Reusable scripts for kernel and user mode software
- fun.
Choosing the right tool

- Syscall tracing is always low-hanging fruit
- Post-mortem analysis, monitoring (flight data recorder): LTTng
- Low-impact performance analysis (dev. drivers etc.): LTTng
- Build with tracing in mind: LTTng
- Understanding control flow: SystemTap
- Customized performance counters: SystemTap
- Tinkering: SystemTap
Questions?
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TRACEPOINT_EVENT(
    nginx,
    accept_lock_acquire,
    TP_Args(int, pid),
    TP.Fields(
        ctf_integer(int, pid, pid)
    )
)
...
tracepoint(nginx, accept_lock_acquire, getpid());